

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/942,997	Applicant(s) MAEDA ET AL.
	Examiner Carlos Lopez	Art Unit 1731

All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Alan Kasper.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2003

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant's representative that the specification lacks antecedent basis for the claimed terminology included in claim 7 reciting "said upper and lower dies approach each other". It was thus agreed upon to amend claim 7 at lines 11-12, by deleting "making the molding surfaces of said upper and said lower dies approach each other to press said glass gob" and inserting - -pressing said glass gob by said upper and said lower dies- -.